

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | | | | • | | |
|-----------------|----------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
| 09/785,863 | (| 02/16/2001 | Jafar Nabkel | 020366-066900 | 020366-066900 6341 | |
| 20350 | 7590 | 12/16/2005 | | EX | AMINER | |
| | | TOWNSEND AN | AL AUBA | AL AUBAIDI, RASHA S | | |
| EIGHTH FL | | RO CENTER | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SAN FRAN | CISCO, C | A 94111-3834 | | 2642 | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---------------------|---------------|-----|
| 09/785,863 | NABKEL ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | · · |
| Rasha S. AL-Aubaidi | 2642 | |

| | Rasha S. AL-Aubaidi | 2642 | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>11/08/2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI | CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALL | OWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | | | |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | e of the final rejection. | | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | | | |
| extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nearly reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); | TE below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | | the issues for | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ected claims. | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | , in price in the interior in | (| | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | will not be entered, or b) wivided below or appended. | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | · | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | • | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: see attachment. | lered but does NOT place the appli | cation in condition for | allowance | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | • | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: BING Q. BUI PRIMARY EVANINER | | | | | | | | | |
| James S. | | | | | | | | | |
| | BING/C |). BUI Vaminier | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/785,863 Page 2

Art Unit: 2642

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/08/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's argument "neither McLeod nor Kung teaches or suggest determining services to provide to a subscriber based on a frequency".

Applicant also adds that McLeod describes when an event will occur, but NOT how often". First of all, as explained in the previous office action the claimed "frequency" reads on scheduling information, which includes the date and time, see col. 35, lines 41-43 in McLeod. Note that, the time, date or day of the week in the subscriber's profile would obviously reflect how often a service such as the news or the weather would be provided. The subscriber would obviously not want to listen to the weather report every 5 minutes.

Second of all, Applicant continues to argue the "frequency" limitation, which has been decided already by the Board of Appeal. Thus, Examiner advices Applicant to review the board decision regarding this limitation on page 4, of the Appeal Brief decision.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rasha S AL-Aubaidi whose telephone number is (571) 272-7481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/785,863

Art Unit: 2642

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad F. Matar, can be reached on (571) 272-7488.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner Rasha S. Al-Aubaidi Art Unit 2642 11/30/2005

> BING Q. BUI PRIMARY EXAMINER

And I. Mari

Page 3